CANDOUR The British Views-Letter

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol 39, Nos 7 & 8. *Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper* July-August, 1988.

DOWN-SIDE-UP

by ROSINE de BOUNEVIALLE

SO we are celebrating the tercentenary of "The Glorious Revolution". We may well do so. One page from the 19th July issue of **The Daily Telegraph** is enough to emphasise the upside-down-ness of the world we presently inhabit - the Free World of popular parlance. It is difficult to remember that that phrase was coined, as they say, to point the difference in state of the Capitalist, as opposed to the Communist, world.

Those old enough may remember the post-World War Two "Berlin Airlift" inaugurated to supply the free West Berliners beleaguered by Communist wolves suddenly evolved from "Uncle Joe's" house-trained puppies. That was the Cold War that was. Today, on our **Telegraph** page 8 we find: "Butter Mountain To Be Reduced By Sale To The Soviet Union." Who does the Editor of this news medium suppose he is informing? The "Free World" has been feeding, arming, informing and sustaining the Soviet Union ever since its bloody birth in 1917 by courtesy of Armand Hammer, Rothschild Freres, Kuhn, Loeb & Co., Harold Wilson, Supermac, Uncle Sam, the U.N., satellites and all who could scramble by crook on to the gravy train. So there is no news about a mere million pounds of butter unless it is there to cover the small print of the million pounds or so of beef destined to follow the same trail, or perhaps to counterbalance a smaller headed item on the opposite side of page 8: "British Aid For Marxist Army Wins Applause", it said.

The applause, it appeared, was ordered by Brigadier John Baskerville-Gregg (yes, really), commander of the British Military Advisory and Training Team at Nyanga, eastern Zimbabwe (that was Rhodesia that was). The recipient of the said applause was a young Mozambican soldier who had just 'killed' a pile of oil drums with "his RPG7 anti-tank rocket". British troops, you see, are now training Marxists from Mozambique to enable them (hopefully) to destroy South Africans, who only a decade ago were aiding their fellow "free men" in Rhodesia to defeat the Marxist terrorists in the bush war. Only now the Rhodesian terrorists (some of them) are the government, and the Mozambican Marxists are Frelimo, and the "free men" are the brutal suppressors of Frelimo and terrorists-now-freedom-fighters everywhere. Are you with me?

The item ended thus: "Some critics of the British training effort say it is merely a political gesture to counter criticism of the Government's failure to impose sanctions against South Africa. But if it is a political gesture, it is one the Mozambicans appreciate. They see it as a material, albeit limited, response to Pretoria's efforts to destabilise the frontline states." This was undoubtedly meant to be the centrepiece of Neil Kinnock's much reported tour of the "Front Line States", but it was sabotaged by the hero himself who lost his temper on the "right" airport when being placed in detention by a dutiful Zimbabwean soldier whilst awaiting the arrival of the

welcoming delegation from the "wrong" airport. The opportunity to make Opposition capital out of the meagre British gesture made to replace the imposition of sanctions against S. Africa was thus lost. So sucks, Mr. Kinnock.

The next piece under rather blacker print - no pun intended - was headed: "Usual Porridge For Mandela" and contained a description of Nelson Mandela's birthday "routine day" in his Cape Town prison. The "routine" however would be enlivened by the other inmates composing and singing a special song for him; the news that "most European governments plus Japan, the Soviet Union, East Germany, New Zealand and Canada sent birthday greetings" and that Comrade Mandela "was made a free man of Dublin yesterday"! In the evening, one supposes, he will have been entertained by a showing of Bernado Bertolucci's Oscar-winning "Last Emperor" kindly donated by the film's director in a 16mm version specially designed for the jail projector. What other torture will the wicked South Africans perpetrate?

Under this, with equal emphasis, came: "Pope Says No To South Africa". John Paul II, we read, "has rejected an appeal to make even a brief touchdown in South Africa during his forthcoming visit to five neighbouring states. He had 'no intention of setting foot in South Africa while the present situation there lasts,' a Vatican official said yesterday, adding: 'It's absolutely impossible.'" You see! South Africa is all right: full of virtue, a thoroughly healthy state. Or is that not what is meant? But didn't Our Blessed Lord say that it was the sick man who needed a visit from the doctor? And the Pope <u>is</u> the Vicar of Christ.

All Is Forgiven?

Still, that was all by-the-way. Pride of place on page 8 was given to the pictorial centrepiece. The top and largest photograph was of a laughing Mr Kinnock hugging and being hugged by Bishop Joshua Dhube, governor of Zimbabwe's Manicaland (funny how appropriate some names are) province after accepting an apology for being detained by soldiers. Will the soldiers be able to exonerate themselves on the plea that they "were only obeying orders"? I wonder.

Below was the piece-de resistance. Right hand photograph: a petition signed by 30,000 people calling for the release of you-know-who being presented by the Anti-Apartheid Movement. Left hand photograph: the petition is kicked out by an embassy official who refused to accept it. And where was all the solidarity taking place? Moscow? Warsaw? Pretoria? Cape Town? No. Remember the revolution. It was outside the South African Embassy, London, England.

Next week, perhaps, the "foreign news" page of **The Daily Telegraph** will be devoted to the struggles for freedom of the Sikhs and Hindus. Not, of course, in Amritsa or Delhi, but on the streets of London, England, if indeed those streets are not already pre-empted by Greek and Turkish Cypriots; Caribs and Asians; Tamils and singhalese; or Aboriginals of the southernmost continent that has no name other than that bestowed upon it by its "ravishers".

The only body <u>never</u> appearing in force to protest is the English population, whose desires and opinions are so totally ignored by their elected parliamentarians in this their native land. Odd, isn't it?

THE MENACE OF MERGING

by DON BELL

WHILE President and Mrs Reagan were preparing for their visit to Moscow, Secretary of State George Shultz took time to address the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) Alumni Association on a relevant subject. He said, "The American economy is increasingly part of the world economy, not an isolated economy. A long-term process of economic integration and convergence, made possible by post-war trade liberalisation, has sharply reduced the importance of national borders in economic affairs. The evidence is all around us, not only in economics but in science and culture as well. And most Americans have begun to understand this fact and adapt to it - not just bankers and economists and public figures, but the proverbial man and woman in the street."

Convergence To One World

Unfortunately, as the "bankers and economists and public figures" drive toward complete installation of the New World Order, Schultz happens to be correct this time. But he might have added that as national economies become international economies, national governments also tend to become mere administrative departments of a World Government. National borders are being "reduced" politically and even geographically as well as economically. And this process of merging, or convergence (Schultz prefers the latter term), is not a movement affecting only the United States and the Soviet Union. It is a movement designed to merge (or converge) all nations; first regionally and finally globally.

Few Americans have paid much attention to it, but a very important "economic integration and convergence" is presently taking place. We refer to the death of the twelve sovereign and supposedly independent Nations of Europe, and their integration into a Regional World Gopvernment. On 1st January, 1993, the 320 million people of these twelve Nations will become one people; their goods, capital, services and money, and they themselves will move as easily across national borders as in the United States across State lines. The formula: economic union, to be followed by political union, and the United Kingdom, France, West Germany, Netherlands, Denmark, Ireland, Belgium, Luxembourg, Portugal, Spain, Italy and Greece will cease to be Nations or States, and become mere administrative units in a United States of Europe. "I am deeply convinced," said West German Chancellor Helmut Kohl, "we can only achieve the aim of unifying Europe politically if we first make the internal market and long-term economic and monetary union a reality."

How could it happen that a Nation such as the once proud and powerful England would agree to commit political suicide? Charlotte Horsfield, in an article in **Candour**, explained how it happened:

[continued on page 4]

ACCORDING TO MRS THATCHER, THE LEGACY OF THE "GLORIOUS REVOLUTION" IS THE RULE OF PARLIAMENT, I.E. DEMOCRACY, BUT EVEN THE PEOPLE WHO DISAGREE WITH HER SEEM IGNORANT OF THE FACT THAT THE MOST LETHAL LEGACY OF THE DISASTROUS REVOLUTION ARE THE BANK OF ENGLAND AND THE NATIONAL DEBT

"The actors in the drama knew their parts. In the House of Lords they did not televise proceedings when the Single European Act was being discussed. The gentlemen of the Press, who have been trained to believe that the public is not interested in EEC matters, discreetly left the Press Gallery when the European Communities Bill was debated, following the lead of the MPs who did not want to waste their time on such formalities. A few faithful parliamentarians made a fuss [but]...at the Third Reading of the bill on 10th July, 1986, only 43 MPs voted against it and the Commons was able to adjourn for its Summer Recess in good time, leaving the Lords to work on into the Recess under cover of a Parliamentary news shadow area. The Third Reading of the Bill in the House of Lords passed off without incident on 3rd November, 1986.... According to the Single European Act the nation state is due to expire on 31st December, 1992, so that European Union can be born on 1st January, 1993."

That's how it's done in London when the "bankers and economists and public figures" want to achieve something that the people would possibly prevent if they only knew and could act before it was too late. Do you recognise any similarities between Parliamentary action in London and Congressional action in Washington? Here's an American example: A very similar though less important "economic integration and convergence" took place when US President Ronald Reagan and Canadian Prime Minister Brian Mulroney concluded an agreement whereby the importance of the border between the United States and Canada was "sharply reduced" economically. Politically, the border remains; but economically, now it hardly exists. As Chancellor Kohl remarked, economic integration and convergence must come before political integration and convergence becomes possible.

Regional Economic Communities

According to the Global Elitists who, for space-saving we often call Insiders, and who George Schultz categorises as "bankers and economists and public figures," the New World Order they are building is, first of all, an Economic World Order with a socialist economy. World Government comes later because it must exist to provide security and permanence for the socialist economy, which the United Nations describes and promotes as the New International Economic Order. Now, geopolitics dictates that the parts must come before the whole. That is, Regional Economic Communities must be established before a Global Economic System can become possible.

So, first there was COMECON, the Council for Economic Assistance composed of the Soviet Union, Poland, East Germany, Czechoslovakia, Hungary and Bulgaria. Next came the European Economic Community which is to become a political as well as an economic Regional World Government in 1993. Also, there is EFTA, the European Free Trade Association, composed of those Nations of Europe that rejected the EEC and formed an economic community of their own: Sweden, Norway, Finland, Switzerland and Austria. EFTA is supposed to act as a kind of buffer between COMECON and the EEC until merging becomes propitious.

Still to come, if those "bankers etc." are successful, is NACOM, a North American Common Market, to be composed of the United States, Canada, Mexico and all the Nations and States of Central America and the CaribbeaN. The US-Canadian Free Economy border and the proposed US-Mexico Free Trade Zone would be the beginning of NACOM, a regional

economic community slated to become a Regional World Government and finally merged into the World Government when the time is ripe. It is a long-range plan taking time to develop. But these "bankers etc." are in no great hurry. They and their predecessors have been at the task ever since the Tower of Babel and Babylon. And here is the catch in so far as NACOM is concerned: most of the Nations and States of Central America and the Caribbean are not sufficiently developed economically or politically to become any part of an economic community. And this is what the troubles in Nicaragua and Panama are all about. But, to explain, we must take a brief look at a part of world history that is seldom taught.

In his **A Basic History of the United States,** Clarence Carson wrote: "Evolution was the intellectual wind for most of the 19th century.... Thinkers began casting about for explanations of change, seeking for the laws of development and change, and even for the methods of predicting the course of changes to come. The German philosopher Hegel developed a fully-fledged theory of how changes take place. The method is called the dialectic.... First, there is the thesis (the proposition, idea or theory), then its opposite, the antithesis. Out of the contest over these comes the synthesis, the resolution of those opposing views which contains elements of both of them." Example: thesis, Christianity; antithesis, Communism; synthesis, Liberation Theology.

Insiders' Dialectic

The Insiders who financed Marx and still finance Communism also adopted Hegel's dialectic. Example as they would express it: thesis, Capitalism; antithesis, Communism; synthesis, democratic socialism and monopolistic capitalism. To build NACOM the Insiders financed and introduced Communism as the antithesis to the existing governments in Cuba, Chile, Nicaragua, etc. By doing this they undoubtedly hoped to produce a synthesis which they call democracy but which is really socialistic democracy and monopolistic capitalism. Cuba, perhaps because nearest to the United States, came first. According to Earl Smith, then American Ambassador to Cuba, "Castro could not have seized power in Cuba without the aid of the United States. American government agencies and the United States press played a major role in bringing Castro to power." But the dialectic did not develop. Came the Bay of Pigs deceit, and Communism has stayed in power to this day. The same dialectic was tried in Chile, through Allende. Another failure, but the Insiders with the aid of the Communists are still trying.

Then came time to bring "socialist democracy" to Nicaragua. Said the late Congressman Larry McDonald on 17th September, 1980, "The policies of the United States of America, the policies of this Administration, were deliberately and calculatingly designed to destroy the elected government of the people of Nicaragua and to bring the Cuban-dominated Sandinistas to power." To maintain the thesis-antithesis conflict, the Freedom Fighters were aided for a time. But as we write this, the leaders of the two sides are conferring, and the Insiders hope they will work out the desired synthesis: socialistic democracy.

Conditions were slightly different in the Philippines. The thesis, stable government under Ferdinand Marcos; and the antithesis, Communist insurgency, already existed. Marcos was deposed and the ineffective widow of a slain pro-Marxist leader was installed to instil the desired

socialist democracy. But the military leaders, many trained by or fought under General MacArthur, would not permit the giveaway of the Philippines to the Communists, or the development of a socialistic democracy. Result: riots and anarchy. In one spot the Hegel dialectic seemed to work. In El Salvador a Socialist was installed as president. But there was no peace. Right wing patriots still fight left wing terrorists, Americans are murdered, near anarchy exists, and surely will exist if President Duarte fails to recover and return to Salvador.

One thing the Insiders have not learned: Hegel's dialectic does not work for them. In Russia it failed to produce the promised utopian heaven on earth; it never got beyond the dictatorship stage. And it has not worked for the Insiders in Central America. Nor will it work with the merging of nations, as has been promoted recently in Moscow. Larry Patterson reminds us of a scripture that fits: "Thou art wearied in the multitude of thy counsels. Let now the astrologers, the star-gazers, the monthly prognosticators, stand up, and save thee from these things that shall come upon thee. Behold, they shall be as stubble; the fire shall burn them; they shall not deliver themselves from the power of the flame.... Thus shall they be unto thee with whom thou hast laboured, even thy merchants...." (Isiaiah 47, 13-15).

Don Bell edits the fortnightly newsletter, **Don Bell Reports**, available from Post Office Box 2223, Palm Beach, Florida 33480, U.S.A. Foreign air mail subscription $50 per annum or send £1 for a sample copy.

SOVIET SHIFT OPENS WAY TO EEC PACT WITH COMECON

"AFTER intense pressure from Bonn, the European Economic Community and COMECON, its Eastern Bloc counterpart, yesterday achieved a breakthrough in their stalled negotiations on mutual recognition... opening the way for diplomatic recognition of the EEC by Moscow for the first time since the Community was founded more than 30 years ago. Diplomats said an historic joint declaration would be initialled early next month, probably in the Soviet capital.

"Until the rise to power of Mr Mikhail Gorbachov three years ago, the Soviet Union had dismissed the EEC as a capitalist club and the political arm of NATO. But Moscow has come to view the EEC as a cohesive political and economic body with a world role. The change in Soviet policy partly stems from the approach of the single European market in 1992, which will further strengthen EEC integration, and partly from Mr Gorbachov's desire to develop links with Western Europe. The Soviet leader proposed formal links with the EEC shortly after taking power, observing that Russians and Western Europeans had a 'common home' in Europe. EEC officials have negotiated cautiously, insisting that EEC-COMECON links must not impair Community ties with individual Soviet bloc countries." [**The Times**, 20th May, 1988]

SO much for the absurdity of the claims once made for the EEC that it would help fight Communism! Edward Heath gave the game away when he said at the Rome Treaty signing ceremony, "Europe is more than Western Europe alone." In fact, what we are witnessing is a significant stage in the fulfilment of a prophecy made in this journal in August 1971 that eventually we would see an "all-Europe" Common Market, in itself a stepping-stone on the way to a World Soviet Union.

SLINGS AND ARROWS

THOSE high-minded persons who claim that the I.R.A. terrorists in Gibraltar should have been arrested, not shot, seem to have overlooked certain points: What would the charge have been? Walking along a street, unarmed? Could they legally have been returned to the U.K. for trial? What evidence could have been produced? A car-load of explosives in a foreign country? The trial would have dragged on for weeks, there would have been demonstrations ("Free the Gibraltar Three!") and the cost would have run into millions. The terrorists, of course, would have been found not guilty on various points of law; they might even have sued the Government for wrongful arrest, taking their case to the European Court if necessary.

WAS it merely coincidence that shortly before the Wembley concert to celebrate Mandela's birthday the B.B.C. put on a tear-jerking programme about black South African children being arrested and ill-treated by the authorities? Just possibly not. According to an article in **The Sunday Times**, "Nelson Mandela pleaded guilty to planning explosions, and was sentenced after a scrupulously fair hearing." Is it too much to hope that we shall ever see "The Mandela Trial" on television, a programme which adheres strictly to the facts and adds and omits nothing relevant? We are afraid that it is too much!

THE long protracted debate about the rights and wrongs of homosexuality sidesteps - or even omits - the most important issue. The basic biological function of sexual relations is procreation; of that there can be no question. Consider now the claim, made by those who wear their trousers back-to-front, that it is only "natural". As Immanuel Kant once observed, "What would happen if everyone did it?" That would be the end of the human species in one or two generations.

THE Moscow Summit was the latest in a long line of such meetings that have shown Western leaders fawning over Gorbachov and his deadly wife. Anthony Fraser, Editor of **Apropos**, made the observation that the intensity of fawning is in direct proportion to the alleged anti-Communist fervour of the leader concerned! The media saw the relationship between Mrs Thatcher and Gorbachov as "electric" and poor Ronald Reagan seemed overwhelmed. Indeed, we could well sum up Summitry by paraphrasing scripture: "Who is like the Beast and who can withstand his charm?"

THE oddly named Miss Whoopie Goldberg recently paid £200 for a Golliwog advertisement for marmalade; her reason, apparently, was to keep this "racialist memorabilia" out of the wrong hands (presumably frenzied racists who would sit and gloat over it in private). What would be the response if a firm of toy makers announced that in future all dolls and animals would be white and that black would be forbidden? Even Teddy bears would be of the Polar variety. There would be furious condemnation from the self-appointed censors who infest our country.

IRAN is to receive two million pounds compensation for the damage done to its London embassy when it was stormed by the S.A.S. to release the hostages held there. It seems amazing that the payment should amount to so much. Perhaps we will eventually get round to paying the German Government (East or West?) for damage done in Berlin to the Reich Chancellory or Hitler's Bunker. Or maybe that is the only thing in the world we won't apologise about.

ISRAEL'S SERIOUS ERROR

by PAT BUCHANAN

THE State of Israel will make a grave, irreversible error if it carries out the execution of John Demjanjuk. Not because the crimes with which Demjanjuk was charged do not merit a death sentence; but because the year-long trial of the Cleveland auto-worker has not erased, but only deepened, the suspicion that John Demjanjuk is an innocent man.

To believe Demjanjuk is "Ivan the Terrible," the sadistic camp guard of the Treblinka killing field, consider what else we must believe. We must believe that, within weeks of his capture in the Crimea in 1942, this 22-year-old Ukrainian conscript suddenly transformed himself into the greatest mass-murderer that World War II produced. We must believe that this seemingly docile and decent family man, 45 years ago personally drove into gas chambers the equivalent of the entire population of Pittsburgh and St. Louis; that Demjanjuk then miraculously survived a prisoner uprising in which his death was a primary objective; that, when the SS ordered Treblinka bulldozed into oblivion and all trace of its existence expunged, the Nazis not only permitted the Butcher of Treblinka himself to survive, they let him fall into the hands of the Americans, where his testimony could send them all to the gallows.

But that is not all we must believe. With more blood on his hands than Mengele himself, Demjanjuk then calmly went to work for the Americans, not even bothering to change his distinctive Ukrainian name. And instead of taking the first opportunity to flee to obscurity in South America, he coolly applied for admission to the U.S., to become an auto-worker in Cleveland.

A 30-Year Cover Story?

Many American veterans of World War II and Vietnam who accidentally killed women and children have suffered severe pangs of remorse and terrible depression; among them the rates of divorce, alcoholism and suicide are abnormally high. Can it be that John Demjanjuk personally threw thousands of infants into gas chambers, and shoved thousands of women and children after them, and then exhibited not the least trace of guilt or sorrow to family, friends, co-workers, or fellow parishioners at the Orthodox church where he worshipped? Was his entire life in America a 30-year cover story?

American prosecutors and the audience in Israel were alike astounded at Demjanjuk's lack of remorse, his repeated protests of innocence ("I couldn't kill a chicken!"), his pathetic efforts to befriend the Treblinka survivors testifying against him. No other Nazi war criminal behaved thus; some were defiant; some cowardly, some defensive, insisting they were only "following orders." Not Demjanjuk. Either he is among the most sordid sociopaths and greatest actors of our age, or he is an innocent man. For the way Demjanjuk behaved in Cleveland and behaved in Jerusalem is exactly the way you and I would behave if accused by half a dozen "eyewitnesses" of mass murder. He is a portrait in confusion, bewilderment, incredulity. Can this all have been but a brilliant act - for a dozen years?

Why, then, is this man about to die by a hangman's rope in Jerusalem on

a gibbet erected for Adolf Eichmann? Because half a dozen "eyewitnesses" testified that Demjanjuk is the same man who, almost half a century ago, stood outside the gas chambers at Treblinka. Yet, amongst these eyewitnesses against Demjanjuk, one testified in 1948 that Ivan had been killed in the prisoner uprising; another remembered in Jerusalem the most lurid of atrocities which he somehow forgot to mention at the Cleveland hearing; another testified that he had travelled to Cleveland from Israel "by train."

Remember Frank Walus?

How can half a dozen "eyewitnesses" be wrong? The same way a dozen "eyewitnesses" were dead wrong when they testified that they personally observed the atrocities of Frank Walus, the Nazi butcher of Kielce, Poland, until hard evidence proved that Walus was a 19-year-old farm worker in Germany at the time - too young, too short and of the wrong nationality even to have belonged to the elite SS unit he was supposed to have headed.

While some of the guards and inmates at Treblinka say Demjanjuk was indeed Ivan, others have turned up to say it is impossible, that Ivan the camp guard was a giant of a man twice Demjanjuk's age in 1942-43, and besides, Ivan died in the uprising. The court, however, chose for the prosecution. If John Demjanjuk - whom I believe to be an utterly innocent man - hangs on Eichmann's gallows, it will be Israel that will one day be in the dock, when it is revealed, as one day it surely shall be, that John Demjanjuk was who he had always said he was.

MRS THATCHER AND THE POLICIES OF HEROD

WE shall say no more of the Demjanjuk trial witnesses' evidence, as shown on a special BBC television programme last month, than that the Holocaust message has lost nothing in its telling and retelling. Meanwhile, here in the United Kingdom in the 1980s, are we to let the pro-abortion MPs get away with failing to halt another Holocaust? David Alton's Bill, like those of Enoch Powell and others before him, were not lost by a vote in Parliament but by the rigidity of Parliamentary procedure and lack of time. MPs like the valiant Mr Alton (with whom we probably disagree on everything else!) fought a clean fight to reduce the number of unborn babies being killed in the most barbaric way. Yet they hadn't a chance against the cynical time-wasting tactics of pro-abortion MPs in all the main parties.

In a letter to the **Catholic Herald** in May, Sr Dorothea Bogue remarked that the Government "has a clear moral duty to allow time [for another anti-abortion bill] and if necessary make time for a clear majority vote in the Commons." Mrs Thatcher must act as quickly as she did in the Falklands crisis, she said, "to show the world she is as prepared to stand for moral issues as for political ones." Alas, alas! The good lady is sanguine in her expectations. Notwithstanding her claim to occupy the Moral High Ground of British politics, Margaret Thatcher has personally done nothing to revoke that greatest curse on the nation - the 1968 Abortion Act. Indeed, her very recent refusal to meet a deputation of backbench Tory MPs concerned about the fate of David Alton's Bill, suggests she hasn't even the desire to challenge the humanist establishment.

THE WAY OF THE WORLD

Gleanings from the Candour Press Desk

CHINA GETS BANKING BUG - "The World Bank is considering its first policy-linked loan to China. The $300 million would be used to encourage some of the country's most advanced rural reforms...aimed at further moves in the direction of market-oriented agricultural production. The loan would amount to a reward for taking steps to lift state controls over agriculture.... A few years ago, it would have been inconceivable for the Chinese to accept a loan linked to policy changes.Many of the country's Communist Party leaders once considered the idea of taking advice from foreigners to be humiliating. But the loan will help to make the World Bank a partner with the Chinese in both the formulation and carrying out of major policy changes.... China is now one of the bank's largest borrowers. It received $1.42 billion in loans in the 1987 fiscal year." [**International Herald Tribune**, 28/3/88]

HART OF THE MATTER - "David Hart is a rum character. He has been described as 'Mrs Thatcher's valued adviser' and as a 'reckless playboy and earnest political manipulator on the Right.' When he gives a party, half the Cabinet is in attendance. 'Half Jewish and half Irish,' he described his background when I sat next to him.... Why were Jewish intellectuals Left-wing in the 1930s and Right-wing today? 'The Jews understand the way the world is going. Socialism was the spirit of the age then. That's over. The dependency culture we now see as a bad thing, The idea of helping people to help themselves is far more morally superior' His father was a wealthy publisher, his ancestors Rabbis and Chief Rabbis. David Hart is a power in the land, although nobody quite knows why. He is an interesting, colourful man and we shall hear more of him. Perhaps he is Mrs Thatcher's astrologer." [Mary Kenny in **The Sunday Telegraph**, 15/3/88]

IT IS STILL PROSTITUTION - "Considering the number of major British companies currently the subject of takeover speculation, it seems that in the present climate any and all of British industry is for sale if the price is right. Prostitution is not a pretty word, and I am sure we will not sell ourselves cheap - but at any price it is still prostitution.... If too many of our major companies are taken over by non-British owners, and free market forces make that more likely rather than less likely, then the U.K. will become increasingly a satellite economy, with decision-makers based elsewhere. If the strategic management decisions about businesses operating in this country are taken in Munich or Milan, who will then uphold the interests of British employees and British communities?... It is said that money always talks, but are we really so cynical that we conform to Oscar Wilde's definition of knowing 'the price of everything and the value of nothing'? [Sir Hector Laing in **The Times**, 2/6/88]

'HONOURABLE' MEMBERS? - "It was 2pm, the House was packed and Mr David Alton writhed in anguish like a late passenger at Heathrow who knows he is going to miss his flight. Thirty minutes later, the Alton Bill to limit abortions to the 18th week of pregnancy, collapsed for lack of time.... Time was finely wasted. The first 45 minutes were spent intoning petitions to the Speaker from outraged lesbians wanting the Bill to go down.... Miss Jo Richardson sang for 32 minutes from the

Opposition Despatch Box of the plight of the woman on the 10th floor without a lift who wanted an abortion for stress reasons. 'Not once,' Alton shouted back, 'has she said a thing about the late abortion - about the child who is dismembered, placed in a black bag and incinerated.' Richardson was deadpan. 'You have to weigh these things,' she replied.... It fell to Mr David Nellist to remind us that Mr Alton had promised to enter a monastery if he failed to get the Bill through. 'Can we hope that the House's loss will be the Church's gain and may we wish him God speed?' he enquired." [**Daily Telegraph**, 7/5/88]

IS THERE A HOMOSEXUAL CONSPIRACY? - "Some suspect that there is a 'gay' lobby which operates in a more or less cohesive way. The advertising campaign to combat AIDS is the one case which gives pause even to those reluctant to entertain conspiracy theories. 'That campaign,' said one minister, 'would indicate that the homosexuals are well established and have powerful influence behind the scenes, because it was deliberately distorted so as not to offend them, to pretend that AIDS is a general problem, whereas the truth is that, in the Western world, it is essentially a homosexual disease....What neither ministers nor senior officials knew at the time,' he said, 'was how entrenched homosexuals were in the upper reaches of the medical establishment dealing with venereology, and that they and their sympathisers were a substantial element in the Chief Medical Officer's advisory committee on AIDS at the DHSS.' [**Sunday Telegraph**, 5/6/88]

ANTI-RACIST MANIA - "The persecution of whites by left-wing councils continues. This week our old friends at Haringey - now, we are assured, run by the so-called 'sensible Left' - have tried to remove an Earlham primary school governor for objecting to a display in a classroom accusing Australia of operating 'an apartheid system on which South Africa was modelled'. The display, for 8-to-11 year olds, also contained a poem vividly describing how a white man rapes and murders Aborigines and burns their homes. The Tory-appointed governor, professional musician Sue Harris - who gives free recorder lessons to Earlham pupils - complained, quite reasonably you might think, that the display was biased and unsuitable for impressionable young children. For her trouble, she was branded racist and elitist by headmistress Yvonne Reynolds. On what evidence? If she was not racist, she would teach the bongos instead of the recorder, Mrs Harris was told." [Richard Littlejohn in **The Standard**, 15/6/88]

ANTI-RACIST MANIA (Part 2) - "An extraordinary row has erupted within the unofficial Labour Party Black Sections movement following the expulsion of Mrs Janet Boateng, wife of Mr Paul Boateng, MP for Brent South.... [She] has been barred from Brent's Black Section for two years after being branded a 'coconut' - brown on the outside but white underneath." [**Daily Telegraph**, 25/6/88]

BUY BRITISH? - "'If you ask the man in the street what Marks & Spencer means to him, he will say quality goods, value for money and up-to-date technology. All these things we have found in abundance in Israel, which is why a good proportion of what we sell is imported from there.' This is the view of Clinton Silver, a director of M & S, who has over-all responsibility for the clothing departments. 25 per cent of Israel's exports to the UK go to M & S. According to Noah Shani, the commercial consellor at the Israeli Embassy, 'Marks & Spencer is the best thing that could happen to our export market. It assists our economy....'" [**Jewish Chronicle**, 1/7/88]

RACE - AN ISSUE THE CHURCHES SHOULD CONSIDER SERIOUSLY

by MICHAEL VOSS

CHRISTIANS are committed to Truth - at least to searching for it even if we now and then mistake it. This quest for Truth involves not only religious truth but scientific, biological, political and every other form of recognition of reality, including whatever realities there are to be found in the matter of Race. There are also moral aspects to be considered regarding race other than mostly unthinking statements such as "all races are equal because all are human". Questions that must be considered in the interests of that Truth to which, as I have said, Christians are committed as Christians are: What differences, if any, are there between the races, biologically, genetically, mentally, etc? What is the evidence for such differences existing? What does the existence of such differences - if they are shown to exist - imply for societies where such races meet? Is it feasible to expect all races to co-exist in the same kind of human society?

Propagating The Multi-Racial Ethic

Christian preachers all too often propagate from pulpits and classrooms the multi-racial ethic. That is, that all races are equal in the sense that people of different races are equally interchangeable, that one race can be expected to behave and to suffer exactly as other races. True, "if they cut, do they not bleed", irrespective of the colour of their skin. But that is not the whole answer. There are serious claims by serious experts that while all people may bleed if they are cut, nonetheless there are important genetic differences in them which are manifested in racial behaviour. From the point of view of Truth, it cannot be accepted unquestioningly that people of all races are, in fact, "just the same". If they are not "just the same", then it would seem to follow that they would not all be equally happy, equally able to develop their human potential if they were all thrown together into some sort of multi-racial soup and expected to form one nation or to live harmoniously.

It is the duty of all Christians, and most especially their leaders - bishops, priests, ministers - properly to be aware of whatever differences exist between people of different races and to take them fully into account in evaluating society as a whole. Not to do this is blatantly unethical, incompetent and dishonest. It is also dangerous because the consequences for a nation could be bloody, murderous and destructive. It could hardly be "Christian" to ignore such possibilities. Great guilt attaches, therefore, to those Christians - especially bishops and other leaders - who do ignore them.

It must be clear, then, that if the foregoing is true, or even reasonably possibly true, opting for a multi-racial society or recognition of "racial equality" is not an unquestioned option for Christians. Sound moral judgements, like any other, can be made only when all the facts, or at any rate, all the really important facts, are known and therefore taken into account. And from this it also follows that when thinking politically the Christian is not limited to choosing to support only the three or four "main" and multi-racial parties.

The British National Party has a coherent policy on issues not only of

race but on many other important political matters, perhaps the most important being its determination to get Britain out of the subservience of the immoral international financial racket. This is an issue which none of the main parties addresses or, as talking to some of their spokesmen seems to indicate, even know what it is all about! However, I am personally reserved in regard to one aspect of the B.N.P. I get the impression that it sees the race issue as the only basic question. And on that I cannot agree with it (if, indeed, it does hold that, which it may not).

Christians cannot hold that race, any more than class, wealth, intelligence or any other attribute, is more important than the fact that all people are created by God and redeemed by Christ. That is central to Christian thought. And if Christianity is true, then what we are talking about here is how humans as humans ought to behave. But recognition of this does not exclude the idea that it is bad, and not good, for all humans of whatever race to be forced to mix. Societies, it may be reasonably argued, have a natural right to their integrity, to preserve their lawful institutions and way of life. If this includes barring people from their frontiers who are seen to be a threat to their homogeneity, then such barring, it seems to me, is morally justifiable. The task of any Government is to ensure - by morally correct methods - the security, freedom and happiness of their people so that, as individuals, they may work out their spiritual salvation.

Heart Of The Matter

The issue of Race comes to this: Would it be best aided by a multi-racial society or a homogeneous one? This is a matter for serious consideration, a consideration which the Churches have conspicuously failed to undertake. Two points immediately follow. Christian leaders are not morally justified in wholesale condemnation of "racist" nationalist societies. Second, they have an imperative duty to address the question of race and society far more seriously than simply parroting the conventional wisdom (?) trotted out by our internationally-dominated leaders, whether those act on impulses of marxism, finance capitalism or sheer treachery to the people they are supposed to care for.

KEEGSTRA'S CONVICTION OVERTURNED

JAMES Keegstra, an Alberta high school teacher, was convicted of promoting hatred against Jews in his social studies teaching and fined $5,000 in 1985 after a 70-day trial, the longest in the province's history [vide **Candour**, July/August 1983, April 1984, November/December 1984]. We are delighted to report that the Alberta Court of Appeal has now quashed the conviction. In a judgement released on June 6th., a three-judge court ruled that the law under which Mr. Keegstra was charged violates freedom-of-speech guarantees in the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. They also noted that the law against wilfully promoting hatred against an identifiable group fails adequately to protect the constitutional rights of Canadians to be convicted only when a crime is established beyond a reasonable doubt. According to **The Globe and Mail**, "The judges said that the charge of promoting hatred is not a reasonable limit on free speech as defined under the Charter. It is not demonstrably justifiable because its sweep is too broad." Messages of support and good cheer to Jim Keegstra may be addressed to him at Box 332, Eckville, Alberta TOM OXO, Canada.

EUROPE'S SACRED COW

by MARIE ENDEAN

MOST people's eyes glaze over at the very mention of the Euro-Parliament and Euro-MPs. Nothing good, surely, can come out of that biggest bore of all boring EEC institutions. Remarkably, something good, very good, has come out of it in the shape of Richard Cottrell, political thriller writer and Euro-MP for Bath and Bristol since 1979. The publisher's claim that his new book * is a devastating expose of the secret transactions and official EEC double-think is justified. Here is the acceptable face of insider information!

Master of the subject, he dissects the Common Agricultural Policy with unerring precision, exposing its basic fallacies and its political expediency, totting up the bureaucratic devices that have accrued in ludicrous attempts to sidestep reality, and assessing the corrupting influence of vested interests intent on quantifying every available advantage.

Of course, most of the information has been known to, or suspected by, anti-Marketeers for years and more than fulfils their forebodings and warnings, but we are taken behind the scenes to watch the "desk-pilots" at work. One peek is unforgettable: at the bent heads of Eurocrats studying the possibilities of chartering ships to dump the rancid butter mountains in the deepest Atlantic entombed in concrete drums!

Tremendously Readable

There is something else different about this book. With the exception of the Anti-Common Market League's booklet, **Bound To Fail**, which has humorous touches all its own, much of the literature critical of the Common Market to date has been factual, weighty and solemn, conscious of destiny and high values at stake. In contrast, **The Sacred Cow** is tremendously readable, thoroughly enjoyable, with a swinging pace and racy comments on almost every page. The very thing to rekindle a jaded public's interest.

Eight years of first-hand experience have shown Richard Cottrell how deep is the gulf between the pan-European ideal as enshrined in the "Holy Writ" of the Treaty of Rome and its realisation. A pragmatic Englishman, he cannot resist pricking the bubble of EEC pretensions. Daily contact with Eurocrats enmeshed in their unreal world - in the game of Euro-Monopoly as he puts it - makes him irreverent and wittily dismissive. He concludes that all agreements within the Community are a "lethal cocktail blended from horse-trading, blackmail and bribery." All this the result of "Me too", or more appropriately, "Me first" politics constantly bubbling just beneath the surface of the EEC.

Particularly helpful for the uninitiated reader are his explanations of green currencies, quotas, "set-aside", the "destocking" programmes and all the other twists and turns of the mad protectionist structure. What more memorable definition of the mysterious monetary compensation amounts (MCAs) than that they are "border taxes which ought no more to exist in the EEC than Satanic regalia in a cathedral"!

As both the largest importer of food and the second largest exporter - all transactions of course being dominated by its selfish dumping policies - the EEC distorts markets throughout the world. The chapters on the make or break effect of all this on Third World countries makes sad and sorry reading. The author's observations are all the more valuable derived as they are from his experience of sitting on a joint committee linking the Euro-Parliament and the Lome states, the captive "partners" in a much lauded but desperately inequitable set-up.

Nearer home, Chapter 10 examines the "selective damage" done to the Irish economy, a betrayal effected by the "fairy gold" of the CAP (Common Agricultural Policy). He pays an interesting tribute to the single-handed fight put up by Raymond Crotty who actually stopped the Irish Government from ratifying the Single European Act.

In fairness he shows how the US and Japan have their own farm support structures and protectionist games to play. However, the difference is that the American and Japanese industrial and research and development programmes are not being stultified to anything like the degree the CAP is affecting the well-being of the Community. The profligacy of the CAP is inexorably relegating the EEC in the World League game. And no wonder! Sir Geoffrey Howe himself has estimated that £70 billion has been wasted on storing and getting rid of surplus food since 1979.

The Mafia's Grip

Worst of all the distortions is the lethal influence on the integrity of public life in the EEC arising out of the incentives to fraud encouraged by the countless loopholes in its countless regulations. Indeed, he states categorically, the EEC insitutions are now virtually impotent in the war against fraud. Using the Commission's figures, he estimates that some 10% (£2 billion) is "milked away" by organised crime, much of it under the control of the Mafia. He quotes Professor Klaus Tiedemann of Frieburg University, a specialist student on "economic crime", who condemns the CAP as one of the most lucrative fiddles in the whole of Europe.

And the situation is worsening steadily with the rapid spread of Mafia influence into northern Italy. **The Sunday Times** (14th February, 1988) reports a revolt, a threat to blockade the streets of Rome, by mayors in Northern Italian villages in protest against the Italian Government's policy of exiling dangerous mafiosi in their areas because of the breakdown of legal processes in the south and the difficulty of bringing criminals to trial.

The Brussels summit has come and gone, condemned by **The Times** itself as having produced "a bad deal indeed." Reform of the CAP has only been tinkered with. So the appearance of **The Sacred Cow** was never more timely: it will greatly help to rally British public opinion in the run-up to the day of reckoning.

* **The Sacred Cow** by Richard Cottrell, Grafton Books, £6.95.

This review was originally published in **Home**, available from Home Publications Ltd., 26 Meadow Lane, Sudbury, Suffolk CO10 6TD. Sample issue price £1.

STICKERS FROM BRITISH ACTION

BRITISH ACTION says

GET BRITAIN OUT OF EUROPE

Forest House Warren Road
Liss Forest Hants GU33 7DD

BRITISH ACTION says

ABORTION IS MURDER

Forest House Warren Road
Liss Forest Hants GU33 7DD

BRITISH ACTION says

Usury Can Damage Your Wealth

Forest House Warren Road
Liss Forest Hants GU33 7DD

BRITISH ACTION says

Homosexual Acts Spread AIDS

Forest House Warren Road
Liss Forest Hants GU33 7DD

BRITISH ACTION says

BRING BACK HANGING

Forest House Warren Road
Liss Forest Hants GU33 7DD

BRITISH ACTION says

Multi-racialism Doesn't Work

Forest House Warren Road
Liss Forest Hants GU33 7DD

THESE hard-hitting self-adhesive British Action stickers are now available at £3.50 for 250 in single or mixed batches. One zealous reader has written to say, "Yesterday I went on a B.A. stickering trip, tackling telephone boxes, post boxes, banks, metal wayside posts, bus shelters - any surface, in fact, that would hold them. I put the abortion ones on several 'family planning' clinics, the homo ones in men's lavatories and the lot on my constituency's party headquarters!" Please address your orders to British Action, c/o Forest House, but make your cheques payable to Candour Publishing Company.

BUCKSHOT FOR ECCLESIASTICAL RABBITS - Rachel Tingle's new book, **Another Gospel?**, reveals the extent to which "liberation theology" has been adopted by the Anglican Church, so that its stance on public issues increasingly reflects an aggressively leftist outlook. £3.95 from the Christian Studies Centre, 8 Victoria Square, London SW1 0QY.

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD, England. (Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle)